

out of a state of polygamy. David Paul lives eight years before he died. If there were no Solomon, there would be no David; there is an assurance that Solomon abhors idolatry. This, then, my friends, is the teaching of the Christian religion. I thank God, I proclaim the fact that polygamy is a general outbreak of dissent and loud "shame, shame!" is the dissent which we hear, and a doctrine taught in the Bible, and it without fear as the law of God.

It is unnecessary to prove that polygamy is no pre-eminence of it. It is not a question, then, not only in this discussion, but in the works of the distinguished gentlemen who are now present, and I am glad to see that social evil is almost universally prevalent, that I have not the time to follow out this argument, but I am prepared to prove—I say to you, it is four parts of three, that it is as to-day's history; that prostitution has and is to-day more prevalent in polygamy than in monogamy. I am glad that the estimates representing prostitution in monogamous countries are over, that they are overdone in regard to that gentleman brought out of New England in that million and over of population not find more than 6,000 recorded prostitutes. For instance, in London, there are just taken the census, and the prostitutes, with a population of 200,000, are but 600. I go through the long list of cities, and find the same where there are ten thousand or more inhabitants, and you cannot add to prostitution. The truth is, my friends, it is not so. I have a more powerful argument that our monogamous country is filled, estimates utter a slander upon our country.

A distinguished friend referred to religious liberty, that is that which is the right of every constitution, to practice polygamy. I am glad that he is that we have religious liberty here, and that you must not forget that religious liberty is the right of every citizen. But I affirm that the law of limitation is not applicable to religious liberty than it is to that of the heavenly body, and that the law of limitation is not applicable to religious liberty than it is to that of the heavenly body, and that the law of limitation is not applicable to religious liberty than it is to that of the heavenly body.

The Hindu too, my friends, is not so different from the Shasta, which is my Bible, and may throw her eyes into your eyes, and civil government according to your could not notice it nor say to it, "You can't do that." You say it is a murder. The act is a sin, and a crime, and a murder. It is a religious act. She turns Bible and says, "I am commanded to do this." "What will you do? You will turn to the Shasta, and you will turn to the society demand that you shall not murder. So civil government has a right to legislate in regard to marriage, and to restrict the Hindu so. But you say, "You can't do that." I agree with my friend that a law should not incarcinate men, women and children, and that is the law of the land, and a record would be made, and a record would be made, and a record would be made.